NOVEL SYNTHESIS OF QUINOLINE DERIVATIVES WITH TRIETHYL PHOSPHITE

T. Kametani, K. Nyu, T. Yamanaka, H. Yagi, and K. Ogasawara Pharmaceutical Institute, School of Medicine,

Tohoku University, No. 85, Kitayobancho, Sendai, Japan

(Received in Japan 25 January 1969; received in UK for publication 10 February 1969)

The reaction of aromatic nitro compounds with tricthyl phosphite has hitherto been investigated by many researchers¹⁻¹⁴. Among them, Sundberg, <u>et al</u>.⁴ reported that deoxygenation of 2-nitrostyrenes by triethyl phosphite gave the indole derivatives. In this case the nitro group is assumed to react predominantly with double bond. On the other hand, we have reported that the compound (I), whose carbonyl and 2-nitrophenyl groups were in relation to <u>cis</u> conformation each other, was reacted with triethyl phosphite to give the quinoline derivative (II) in good yield⁷. Hereby we wish to report the novel synthesis of quinoline derivative by treatment of ethyl 2-nitrobenzylidenemalonates (III) and (IV), whose 2-nitrophenyl groups are presented against one of two carbonyl groups with <u>cis</u> conformation, with triethyl phosphite.

Treatment of ethyl 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzylidenemalonate (III) (1 mol.) and ethyl 4-benzyloxy-5-methoxy-2-nitrobenzylidenemalonate (IV) (1 mol.) with triethyl phosphite (5 mol.) at 160 - 170° for 20 hr. in a current of nitrogen afforded the 2-ethoxy-3-ethoxycarbonyl-6,7-dimethoxyquinoline (V) (61 %), m.p. $91 - 94^{\circ}$, v_{max} . (in CHCl₃) 1718 (ester C=0), 1627 cm.⁻¹ (C=N-), δ (p.p.m.) (in CDCl₃) 1.40, 1.47 (each 3H, 2t, <u>J</u> 7 c./sec., 2 x CH₂CH₃), 3.96, 4.01 (each 3H, 2s, 2 x OCH₃), 4.38, 4.55 (each 2H, 2q, <u>J</u> 7 c./sec., 2 x -CH₂CH₃), 7.00 (1H, s, C₅-H), 7.14 (1H, s, C₈-H), 8.45 (1H, s, C₄-H), and 7-benzyloxy-2-ethoxy-3-ethoxycarbonyl-6-methoxyquinoline (VI) (68 %), m.p. 95 - 98°, v_{max} . (in CHCl₃) 1711 (ester C=0), 1620 cm.⁻¹ (C=N-), 8 (p.p.m.) (in CDCl₃) 1.41, 1.47 (each 3H, 2t, <u>J</u> 6.6 c./sec., 2 x -CH₂CH₃), 3.96 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.38, 4.54 (each 2H, 2q, <u>J</u> 6.6 c./sec., 2 X -CH₂CH₃), 5.28 (2H, s, benzylic protons), 7.04 (1H, s, C₈-H), 7.16 -7.56 (6H, m, C₅-H and phenyl aromatic protons), 8.47 (1H, s, C₄-H).



(])



(]])



 $(\blacksquare) R^{I} = R^{2} = OMe, R^{3} = COOEt$ $(\bigtriangledown) R^{I} = OMe, R^{2} = OCH_{2}Ph, R^{3} = COOEt$ $(\boxtimes) R^{I} = OMe, R^{2} = OCH_{2}Ph, R^{3} = H_{B}$



$$(\nabla)$$
 $R^1 = R^2 = OMe$

 (∇I) $R^1 = OMe$, $R^2 = OCH_2Ph$





(X)

- (∇I) $R^1 = R^2 = OMe$
- (\overline{MI}) R¹ = OMe, R² = OCH₂Ph

No.13

Hydrolysis of the above compounds, (V) and (VI), with hydrochloric acid gave the corresponding quinolone derivatives, (VII) (96 %), m.p. > 270° , v_{max} . (KBr) 1710 (acid C=0), 1650 cm.⁻¹ (lactam C=0), δ (p.p.m.) (in CF₃CO₂H) 4.13, 4.19 (each 3H, 2s, 2 x OCH₃), 7.39, 7.49 (each 1H, s, aromatic protons), 9.32 (1H, s, C₄-H), and (VIII) (51 %), m.p. > 280° , v_{max} . (in KBr) 1725 (acid C=0), 1655 cm.⁻¹ (lactam C=0), δ (p.p.m.) (in CF₃CO₂H) 4.10 (3H, s, OCH₃), 5.40 (2H, s, benzylic protons), 7.25, 7.40 (each 1H, 2s, aromatic protons), 7.45 (5H, s, phenyl aromatic protons), 9.25 (1H, s, C₄-H), respectively.

On the other hand, the same treatment of ethyl 4-benzyloxy-3-methoxy-2-nitrocinnamate (IX) [δ (p.p.m.) 8.11 (1H, <u>J</u> 16 c./sec., H_A), 6.12 (1H, <u>J</u> 16 c./sec., H_B); this fact proves the <u>trans</u>-conformation] with trithyl phosphite gave the 6-benzyloxy-2-ethoxycarbonyl-5-methoxyindole (X) (56 %), m.p. 135 - 136^o, v_{max} . (in CHCl₃) 3400 (NH), 1688 (ester C=0), 1630 cm.⁻¹ (C=C), δ (p.p.m.) (in CDCl₃) 1.38 (3H, t, <u>J</u> 6.5 c./sec., $-CH_2CH_3$), 3.91 (3H, s, $0CH_3$), 4.35 (2H, q, <u>J</u> 6.5 c./sec., $-CH_2CH_3$), 5.16 (2H, s, benzylic protons), 6.82 (1H, s, C₃-H), 7.05 (2H, broad s, C₄- and C₇-H), 7.35 (5H, broad s, phenyl aromatic protons), 8.95 (1H, broad s, NH).

This fact is in accord with that of Sundberg's data. Namely, when both 2nitrophenyl and carbonyl groups were in relation to <u>trans</u> conformation, the formation of the indole has been proved, whereas the compounds with the reverse conformation as III and IV afforded our expected quinoline derivatives. Thus this work provides the novel synthesis of quinoline derivatives having various substituents.

- 1 P. J. Bunyan and J. I. G. Cadogan, <u>J. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>1963</u>, 42.
- 2 J. I. G. Cadogan, M. Cameron-Wood, R. K. Mackie, and R. J. G. Searle, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 4831.
- 3 J. I. G. Cadogan, R. K. Mackie, and M. J. Todd, Chem. Comm., 1966, 491.
- 4 J. I. G. Cadogan and M. Cameron-Wood, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1962, 361.
- 5 R. J. Sundberg and T. Yamazaki, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1967, <u>32</u>, 299.
- 6 T. Kametani, K. Ogasawara, and T. Yamanaka, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1968, 1006.
- 7 T. Kametani, T. Yamanaka, and K. Ogasawara, Chem. Comm., 1968, 786.
- 8 G. Smolinsky and B. I. Feuer, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1966, <u>31</u>, 3882.
- 9 R. J. Sundberg, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1966, <u>88</u>, 3781.
- 10 R. J. Sundberg, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, 1968, <u>33</u>, 487.
- 11 J. I. G. Cadogan and M. J. Todd, Chem. Comm., 1967, 178.
- 12 T. Kametani, T. Yamanaka, and K. Ogasawara, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 4446.
- 13 T. Kametani, T. Yamanaka, and K. Ogasawara, Chem. Comm., 1968, 996.
- 14 T. Kametani, K. Ogasawara, and T. Yamanaka, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1969, 138.